

2872

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN THE APPLICATION OF

Sparks et al.

SERIAL NO: 09/745,890

FILED: December 21, 2000

FOR: LOAD SHARING NODES IN A
NETWORK UTILISING SHARED
OPTICAL PROTECTION

)
)
) Group Art Unit No. 2872
)
)
)
)
)
) Docket No. 476-1969
)

RECEIVED
MAR 21 2001
MAIL ROOM #4
RECEIVED
APR 24 2001
Technology Center 2600

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to "Commissioner of Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231", on March 14, 2001..

Name of person signing: Kathy Kurek
Signature _____

Kathy Kurek

CLAIM FOR PRIORITY

Honorable Commissioner of Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Washington DC 20231

Dear Sir:

Under the International Convention, for the purposes of priority, applicant claims the benefit of Great Britain Application No. 9930525.2, filed December 23, 1999.

A certified copy of said application is appended hereto.

DATE: March 10, 2001

Respectfully submitted,

William M. Lee, Jr.

William M. Lee, Jr.
Registration No. 26,935
Lee, Mann, Smith et al.
PO Box 2786
Chicago IL 60690-2786
(312) 368-1300
Fax (312) 368-0034

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)



INVESTOR IN PEOPLE

TC 201 1 AND ROOM

The Patent Office
Concept House
Cardiff Road
Newport
South Wales
NP10 8QQ

RECEIVED
APR 24 2001
Technology Center 2600

I, the undersigned, being an officer duly authorised in accordance with Section 74(1) and (4) of the Deregulation & Contracting Out Act 1994, to sign and issue certificates on behalf of the Comptroller-General, hereby certify that annexed hereto is a true copy of the documents as originally filed in connection with the patent application identified therein.

In accordance with the Patents (Companies Re-registration) Rules 1982, if a company named in this certificate and any accompanying documents has re-registered under the Companies Act 1980 with the same name as that with which it was registered immediately before re-registration save for the substitution as, or inclusion as, the last part of the name of the words "public limited company" or their equivalents in Welsh, references to the name of the company in this certificate and any accompanying documents shall be treated as references to the name with which it is so re-registered.

In accordance with the rules, the words "public limited company" may be replaced by p.l.c., plc, L.C. or PLC.

Re-registration under the Companies Act does not constitute a new legal entity but merely subjects the company to certain additional company law rules.

Signed

W. Evans

Dated

19 APR 2000

CERTIFIED COPY OF

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)

THIS PAGE BLANK

Patents Act 1977
(Rule 16)



The
Patent
Office

**Statement of inventorship and of
right to grant of a patent**

(See the notes on the back of this form. You can also get
an explanatory leaflet from the Patent Office to help
you fill in this form)

The Patent Office

Cardiff Road
Newport
Gwent NP9 1RH

| | | | | |
|----|---|--|---|------------------------------------|
| 1. | Your reference | 10635IDGB01U | | |
| 2. | Patent application number (The Patent Office will fill in this part) | 9930525.2 | | |
| 3. | Full name, address and postcode of the or of each applicant (<u>underline all surnames</u>) | Nortel Networks Corporation World Trade Center of Montreal 380 St Antoine Street West 8th Floor Montreal, Quebec H2Y 3Y4 Canada Patents ADP number (if you know it) 06258966001 765165600 If the applicant is a corporate body, give the country/state of its incorporation Quebec, Canada | | |
| 4. | Title of the invention | Load Sharing Nodes in a Network Utilising Shared Optical Protection | | |
| 5. | Name of your agent (if you have one) | "Address for service" in the United Kingdom to which all correspondence should be sent (including the postcode) Nortel Networks IP Law Group London Road Harlow Essex CM17 9NA 7562531002 | | |
| 6. | If you are declaring priority from one or more earlier patent applications, give the country and the date of filing of the or of each of these earlier applications and (if you know it) the or each application number | Country | Priority application number (if you know it) | Date of Filing (day/month/year) |
| 7. | If this application is divided or otherwise derived from an earlier UK application, give the number and the filing date of the earlier application | Number of earlier application | | Date of filing (day/month/year) |
| 8. | Is a statement of inventorship and of right to grant of a patent required in support of this request? (Answer 'Yes' if: a) any applicant named in part 3 is not an inventor, or b) there is an inventor who is not named as an applicant, or c) any named applicant is a corporate body. See note (d)) | Yes | | |

9. Enter the number of sheets of any of the following items you are filing with this form. Do not count copies of the same document.

Continuation sheets of this form

Description

Claim(s)

Abstract

Drawing(s)

0

8

2

0

4 + 4

10. If you are also filing any of the following, state how many against each item.

Priority documents

Translation of priority documents

Statement of inventorship and right to grant of a patent (*Patents Form 7/77*)

Request for preliminary examination (*Patents Form 9/77*)

Request for substantive examination (*Patents Form 10/77*)

Any other documents (*please specify*)

0

0

0

0

0

0

11.

I/We request the grant of a patent on the basis of this application.

Signature

Date 23 December 1999

12. Name and daytime telephone number of person to contact in the United Kingdom

Neil Parkinson
01279 403694

Warning.

After an application for a patent has been filed, the Comptroller of the Patent Office will consider whether publication or

Patents Form 1/77

LOAD SHARING NODES IN A NETWORK UTILISING SHARED OPTICAL PROTECTION

This invention relates to an optical communications network and in particular, to a network in which optical protection paths, which maintain connection between network nodes in the event of a fault in a main working traffic signal path between the nodes, are shared by a number of nodes.

5 Optical transmission systems are often constructed with a fault recovery mechanism so that if there is a complete loss of transmission capability, e.g. from a break in the fibre, or a failure in the transmission path for any other reason, the traffic can be reallocated to other physically diverse routes.

Figure 1 shows a typical dedicated protection scheme, in which an additional
10 protection cable 14ab,14cd is provided for each pair of nodes 10a,10b; 10c,10d in addition to the main signal carrying transmission line 12ab, 12cd joining the respective pairs of nodes. As the protection cables 14ab,14cd follow a physically different path around the network to the respective main signal carrying cables 12ab,12cd; this ensures that the protection cable is unlikely to be affected by the same failure as the
15 main cable e.g. due to a worker in advertently cutting the cable.

In the example shown, the nodes are each represented by routers 10a,10b,10c,10d connected by optical fibre transmission lines 12ab,12cd,14ab,14cd. Routers operate at the network layer, level 3 of the OSI (open systems interconnect) model, and determine the best route to send data. Wavelength converters 21 to 28 are
20 utilised to convert the signals from the relatively short wavelength output by the router to the longer wavelength utilised for transmission, and back once again to the shorter wavelength for reception at the destination router.

Typically, each router pair only transmits data along the working paths e.g. from 10a via 12ab to 10b, with the relevant dedicated protect path 14ab only being utilised in the event of a failure of the main working path. Consequently, this type of dedicated protection scheme results in a large number of additional transmission lines extending
5 around the network, and these are normally unused. This approach is therefore expensive and inherently bandwidth inefficient.

The routers will typically be connected to additional routers within the network, utilising other working and protect paths. Each router typically maintains a router table indicative of the connectivity of each router within the network. In the event of a cable
10 cut in the working path e.g. 12ab, or another situation that requires utilisation of the protection feature, each router table will require updating. Such takes a finite time to be initiated and to propagate through the network. Routing table updates are best avoided if possible. They consume router processing resources and can trigger routing instability or "router flapping" (Internet Routing Instability, Labovitz, C Malan, R Jahanian F
15 Proceedings of the ACM SIGCOMM '97)

A known improvement to this approach is an optical shared protection ring, an example of which is shown in figure 2. In such a system, the protection ring extends over several nodes in the network. Consequently the single ring effectively replaces several dedicated protection paths. As this protection ring can be shared between
20 multiple protection paths, there is an improvement in bandwidth efficiency over using dedicated paths.

In the example shown, the working path between router 110a and 110b is via optical fibre 112ab, and the path between 110c and 110d is via 112cd. The optical protection ring is provided via optical fibre links 114ab, 114bc, 114cd and 114da.
25 Wavelength converters 121 to 132 are provided as appropriate or longhaul optical interface can be provided at the router. Items 116a to d represent 2x2 optical switches, with the switch input connected to the respective router and a first output to the working path (112ab, 112cd) and a second output connected to the optical shared protection ring via respective optical switch modules (OSM - 118a to 118d).

In normal operation, each router pair 110a,110b and 110c,110d sends traffic via the respective working path; if a break occurs in the respective working path, then the respective optical switch will switch the traffic via the OSM onto the respective protection path along the OSPR, i.e. 114ab or 114cd. If both of the respective working and span
5 protect paths fail, then the OSM will switch the traffic to be routed around the long route of the ring e.g. if protect path 114ab fails, then OSM 118a and OSM 118b will act to route traffic from router 110a to 110b via 114da,114cd and 114bc.

Such a scheme provides greater network resiliency by providing two alternate protection path routes, although it will be appreciated that the protect path route
10 extending the long way around the ring can only be utilised to transmit data in any given channels for one pair of nodes.

As a number of pairs of nodes may utilise the same optical shared protection ring, the scheme can cut down on the total length of transmission fibre that needs to be laid compared with a dedicated protection scheme.

15 In common with the dedicated protection scheme, an OSPR may also induce routing instability by requiring the routers to update their routing tables. If identical traffic is carried on both working and protection paths then it may be possible to avoid routing table updates caused by cable or transport equipment failure. However in this case only half the networks capacity is being used in normal operation. If two separate router
20 ports are connected to working and protection paths then any transport layer fault will result in at least one port seeing a loss of connectivity.

It is an object of the present invention to address at least one of the problems of the prior art.

Summary of the Invention

25 In a first aspect the present invention provides a network node for an optical communications shared protection scheme network, the network node being arranged to provide optical signals to at least two transmission paths, the node comprising a load sharing router having at least two ports, a first port connected to a working transmission path, and a second port connected to a shared protection path.

Preferably, said shared protection path is a ring, said second port being connected to said ring via an optical switching device arranged to switch signals transmitted to and from the second port either direction around the ring.

5

In another aspect, the present invention provides an optical network comprising a plurality of network nodes, each network node being arranged to provide optical signals to at least two transmission paths, the node comprising a load sharing router having at least two ports, a first port connected to a working transmission path, and a second port
10 connected to a shared protection path.

In a further aspect, the present invention provides a method of transmitting packet traffic between first and second network nodes in a shared protection optical transmission network, the method comprising defining first and second traffic paths
15 between said nodes, at least one of said paths being a shared protection path, and allocating traffic along said paths utilising link aggregation.

Preferably, said shared protection scheme is an optical shared protection ring, and wherein in the event of a failure or degradation of said protection path, the protect path
20 is switched to be the other way around the ring.

Preferably, a failure in a transmission path is perceived by the nodes as a reduction in capacity by said nodes.

25 **Brief Description of the Drawings**

Embodiments of the invention will now be described by way of example only with reference to the accompanying drawings in which:

FIGURE 1 illustrates a dedicated protection scheme network (prior art);

FIGURE 2 illustrates an optical shared protection ring network scheme (prior art);

FIGURE 3 illustrates an optical shared protection ring implemented according to an embodiment of the invention; and

5 FIGURE 4 illustrates an optical shared protection ring implemented according to a further embodiment of the invention.

Detailed Description of Preferred Embodiments

An ongoing development to router technology is the provision of routers having
10 two or more load sharing ports. Load sharing, also termed link aggregation or trunking, is a technique that allows parallel physical links between switches or between a switch in the server to be used simultaneously, thus multiplying the bandwidth between the devices.

The IEEE has determined that the term "link aggregation" is the generic term for
15 this type of technology, with the IEEE link aggregation standards effort to be called 802.3ad. In addition, the IEEE has defined a "link segment" as a point to point, for duplex medium connection between two and only two Ethernet ports (from the 802.3u standard).

Link aggregation is a method of grouping physical link segments of the same
20 media type and speed, and treating them as if they were part of a single, logical link segment. In general, link aggregation provides two important benefits: increase performance and increase resiliency. Link aggregation provides cost effective incremental bandwidth between two devices, and offers the potential to multiply aggregate bandwidth. The technique also provides automatic, point to point redundancy
25 between two devices (e.g. switch to switch or switch to server). If a link in a trunk fails, the flows mapped to that link are dynamically reassigned to the remaining links of the aggregated link. The remapping occurs as soon as the switch learns that an address has moved from one port to another. As a result, link aggregation configurations are extremely resilient and fault tolerant.

To date, it has only been envisaged that link aggregation be utilised in dedicated point to point protection schemes.

The present invention relates to utilising a link aggregation router as part of a shared optical protection scheme, together with the unexpected traditional benefits that
5 utilising such a technology in such a protection scheme brings.

A preferred embodiment of the present invention will now be described with reference to figure 3, in which the same references have been used to indicate those devices that occur in the prior art scheme shown in figure 2. This scheme connects two
10 pairs of load sharing routers (210a,210b and 210c,210d), which each have respective load sharing ports (216a to 216d). Thus data may be transmitted between sites A and B, and between sites C and D.

For instance, traffic between locations A and B will be transmitted via paths 212ab and 214ab in normal operation. As the routers are loadsharing (provide link aggregation) then the traffic will be sent down either or preferably both of the paths,
15 depending on their availability. If sustained demand is greater than the available capacity, packets will be dropped using information provided by any packet priority scheme in operation.

There is thus no need to pre-sort traffic into protected and unprotected classes for the transmission network, for instance as is described in the Nortel Networks
20 Corporation US application "Network Path Protection" by P J Atterton, Nortel Networks reference ID1097. Thus best use can be made of whatever capacity is available. Provided capacity is available on at least one of the paths connected to either of the load sharing ports, the router will not see change in its connectivity, thus avoiding routing table changes which take time to signal and can result in network instabilities.

25 In the event of a failure severing both paths normally carrying traffic, for example at the point X shown in figure 3, the protection route is restored by being routed the opposite way around the ring. This restoration occurs relatively quickly, in order that the router is not aware of any short period during which packets cannot travel between the two desired routers (210a and 210b).

In this protection state, only half the bandwidth (as compared to normal operation, assuming similar capacities between each of the paths) can be carried between the two sites (A and B). The decision as to which packets are being dropped is made in the router based on its knowledge of individual packet priorities. All the other devices using
5 the ring shared capacity will also only have available half of the normal bandwidth.

Thus such a protection scheme is relatively quick compared with OSI layer 3 protection, and utilises the shared protection bandwidth efficiently.

As each router performs a simple load share between two ports with a packet quality of service visibility. If desired, different service platforms can share the same
10 single ring protection channels. This is indicated in figure 4, which uses the same reference numerals as figure 3 for the same devices, and mixes load sharing routers (210a,210b) with ATM switches (310c,310d) utilising the same protection ring.

The scheme also provides direct access to transport fault detection, reporting and management.

15 Due to the use of load sharing devices, a failure in one or more of the transmission paths will be perceived by the routers as a change in available bandwidth rather than a change in connectivity. Thus router tables need not be frequently updated, and the condition known as "route flapping" is inhibited from occurring.

Finally, the separation of transport and router layers accommodate different
20 product lives and management.

For the purposes of this specification, the terms "optical" and "light" should be understood as pertaining not only to the visible part of the spectrum, but also to the infra red and ultra violet parts that bound the visible part.

Whilst the invention has been described with specific types of components it will
25 be appreciated by a skilled person that devices having similar characteristics could be utilised instead. For instance, whilst the invention has been described with respect to optical fibre components, other optical waveguides or even free space transmission paths could equally be used.

The foregoing describes the invention including the preferred form thereof. Alterations and modifications as will be obvious to someone skilled in art are incorporated within the specification hereof.

CLAIMS

1. A network node for an optical communications shared protection scheme network, the network node being arranged to provide optical signals to at least two transmission paths, the node comprising a load sharing router having at least two ports, a first port connected to a working transmission path, and a second port connected to a
5 shared protection path.

2. A network node as claimed in claim 1, wherein said shared protection path is a ring, said second port being connected to said ring via an optical switching device arranged to switch signals transmitted to and from the second port either direction
10 around the ring.

3. An optical network comprising a plurality of network nodes, each network node being arranged to provide optical signals to at least two transmission paths, the node comprising a load sharing router having at least two ports, a first port connected to
15 a working transmission path, and a second port connected to a shared protection path.

4. A method of transmitting packet traffic between first and second network nodes in a shared protection optical transmission network, the method comprising defining first and second traffic paths between said nodes, at least one of said paths
20 being a shared protection path, and allocating traffic along said paths utilising link aggregation.

5. A method as claimed in claim 4, wherein said shared protection scheme is an optical shared protection ring, and wherein in the event of a failure or degradation of said
25 protection path, the protect path is switched to be the other way around the ring.

6. A method as claimed in claim 4, wherein a failure in a transmission path is perceived by the nodes as a reduction in capacity by said nodes.

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)

1/4





Figure 1

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)

2/4

Figure 2



THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)





Figure 3

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)

Figure 4



THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)